

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,587	10/07/2003	Thomas L. Barnhart	170707-1016	6858	
24973 LAW OFFICE	7590 05/08/200 COF SANFORD J ASM	EXAM	EXAMINER		
570 VININGTON CT			JANVIER, JEAN D		
ATLANTA, G	A 30350-5710		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3688		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	OL/ UT O/ UT VILIT	0000				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37	avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since a			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
Impart Grant Extractions Impart Grant Failed and Failed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		condition for allowan	ce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 						
	/Jean Janvier/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3688				

Continuation of 13. Other: Furthermore, the Example had clearly explained to the Attorney, before the filing of the 1.111 response, that he had to submit a proper Affidavit in response to the Non-Final Office Action to thereby render the NPL reference unavailable as prior art.
Having said that, the Affidavit herein being submitted after the final Office Action is not timely and hence, it will not be entered. Finally, contrary to the Applicant's findings, the adjective "plausible" remans, inter alia, "persussive" (See Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictonary-Tenth Edition), Thus, "The Applicant's response is not plausible" implies that "The Applicant's response is not persuasive", as one of ordinary skilled in the art would have concluded.